

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/799,415	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BAKKER-ARKEMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Raymond J. Henley III	<b>Art Unit</b> 1614	

**All Participants:**

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(2) Andrew J. Leon.

**Status of Application:** Pending (After-final rejection)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 March 2005

**Time:** AM (e.s.t.)

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*8*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization given for Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)